Exhibit 47

November 17, 2020

138 to 141

	140 4 6 11 10 1		2020 190 00 111
	Page 138		Page 140
1	- STUART VARDAMAN -	1	- STUART VARDAMAN -
2	Ms. Rowe?	2	A. That was the thrust of the
3	A. That we were looking for someone at a	3	conversation and tying back to the appropriate
4	VP level scope and scale and with actual C-level	4	next steps on the search, an appropriate next step
5	executive contacts and that we would keep her in	5	in this case was winding down the process for Ulku
6	mind for future build-outs in in this	6	and keeping her in mind for future executive
7	organization focused on financial services.	7	positions within the financial services
8	Q. And so does that mean that she was	8	organization.
9	not considered for the role?	9	Q. What was the basis for your
10	MR. GAGE: Objection.	10	determination that she was not qualified for a
11	A. To my knowledge, she didn't apply.	11	position that was at the VP level?
12	So we just felt it's the right thing to do, to	12	A. It
13	circle her back.	13	MR. GAGE: Objection.
14	Q. Was there ordinarily for an	14	A. It was largely emphasized by who
15	internal role like this, would someone have to	15	ultimately the the candidates that we had in
16	fill out an applications?	16	play and ultimately who landed in the role which
17	A. That's the way we we typically try	17	is Yolanda Piazza, which as a as a data point
18	to do it.	18	was listed as one of American Bankers' most
19	Q. Did you tell Ms. Rowe that she needed	19	powerful women in in 2019, I believe.
20	to fill out an application?	20	Q. At this moment when you communicated
21	A. I don't recall.	21	this to Ms. Rowe, what was your basis for
22	Q. Did you provide her with an	22	determining that she was not qualified to be
23	application?	23	considered for a VP level role?
24	A. No, that's everyone at Google	24	MR. GAGE: Objection.
25	knows about the GRO website.	25	A. That would have been largely driven
1	Page 139 - STUART VARDAMAN -	1	Page 141 - STUART VARDAMAN -
2	Q. Well, looking at this Exhibit 116, on	2	by her comparison to the external candidates.
3	February 5th, 2020 Ms. Rowe writes to you; "Hi,	3	Q. As of February, 2020 who were the
4	Stuart, great connecting again, thank you for	4	external candidates that were being considered?
5	sharing the spec. I'm interested. What would the	5	A. I don't know. I'd have to look that
6	next steps be?"	6	up. Yolanda Piazza was likely involved by then.
7	And then she writes again on February	7	Q. Had interviews taken place at that
8	10th, "Just checking in on this for next steps."	8	point in time, panel interviews?
9	Then on February 10th you write her,	9	A. I don't recall.
10	"We are trying to get on Kirsten's calendar so	10	Q. Did you consider the feedback with
11	that we can determine the appropriate next steps	11	respect to Ms. Rowe in terms of determining that
12	on the search. After we connect with Kirsten,	12	she was not qualified to be considered for this VP
13	I'll circle back with you."	13	role?
14	And then on February 20th she writes,	14	MR. GAGE: Objection.
15	"Just checking if there are any further updates on	15	A. No, I didn't. Again, with the
16	this." You respond, "We are trying to get on	16	with the financial services vertical lead role
17	Kirsten's calendar tomorrow."	17	that ultimately was canceled, right, Tariq asked
18	So at any point in that	18	us to include her in process.
19	communication, did you tell her that the next step	19	Q. Did you consider your close-out notes
20	was she should fill out an application?	20	in Thrive with respect to Ms. Rowe in determining
21	A. No, not in this e-mail.	21	that she was not qualified to be considered for
22	Q. And then when you communicated to	22	the VP sales role?
1	e. The short mistry of sommarizoused so		
23	her, were you communicating to her that she was	23	MR. GAGE: Objection.

25

entering that -- that close-out note and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$ think

25

looking for someone at the VP level in scope?

142 to 145

1	Page 142 - STUART VARDAMAN -	1	Page 144 - STUART VARDAMAN -
2	as as you've seen, you know, my direction as it	2	Q. Do you know whether Ms. Rowe has been
3	pertains to the search will will come from the	3	honored externally to Google at any point in time?
4	hiring manager that that I'm supporting.	4	A. In American Banker, no, I don't
5	Q. Did you share anything with Kirsten	5	recall.
6	regarding what you knew about Ms. Rowe prior to	6	Q. In any and by anyone, do you know
7	the February 27th I'm sorry, February 28th	7	whether she's received any external awards or
8	conversation with Ms. Rowe?	8	recognitions with respect to her work?
9	MR. GAGE: Objection.	9	A. No, ma'am, I don't.
10	A. No. It's not my role.	10	Q. Did you do anything to ascertain what
11	Q. As a recruiter in your position, it's	11	Ms. Rowe's external recognitions were?
12	not your role to share anything about what you	12	MR. GAGE: Objection.
13	know about a candidate; is that your testimony?	13	A. I don't recall, no.
14	A. No, ma'am. The answer is specific to	14	Q. Did you do anything at this point in
15	Googlers who may or may not be interested. The	15	time to ascertain what her qualifications were
16	focus of the role on Kirsten's team was primarily	16	with respect to this position?
17	an external candidate and as a result of our	17	MR. GAGE: Objection.
18	activities, we write the scope and scale and	18	A. No. The the primary point of that
19	executive's C-level network that the candidates	19	call was to let her know that we were focusing on
20	have.	20	other candidates and we'd keep her in mind for a
21	MS. GREENE: I'm sorry, Hope, could	21	future role.
22	you read back that last answer.	22	Q. Prior to the conversation though in
23	(The answer requested was read back	23	reaching the determination that she would not be
24	by the reporter.)	24	considered for this role, tell me everything you
25	Q. Did you know what Ms. Rowe's C-level	25	did to assess what her qualifications for the role
1	Page 143 - STUART VARDAMAN -	1	Page 145 - STUART VARDAMAN -
2	network was?	2	were.
3	A. I don't recall.	3	MR. GAGE: Objection.
4	Q. Do you know whether Ms. Rowe was	4	A. Yeah. It was her comparison to
5	considered or had an external reputation as an	5	candidates we had in play at the time, as I
6	expert in this area?	6	recall.
7	MR. GAGE: Objection.	7	Q. And what information did you use to
8	A. No, I don't recall.	8	make that comparison?
9	Q. Do you know whether Ms. Rowe had a	9	A. Review of information with with
10	reputation as an industry titan, as you referred	10	Kirsten.
11	to it?	11	O. What information?
12	A. Compared to someone like Yolanda Pi	12	A. As a matter of practice it would have
13	Yolanda Piazza, I would say there's wide space.	13	included publicly-available information like a
14	Q. Did you know what Ms. Rowe's	14	LinkedIn profile, for example.
15	reputation was externally with respect to her job?	15	Q. Do you recall whether you reviewed
16	MR. GAGE: Objection.	16	her LinkedIn profile?
17	A. No.	17	A. Honestly, I don't.
18	Q. Did you do anything to ascertain what	18	Q. Did you ask anyone else in the
19	her external reputation was professionally?	19	organization for feedback with Ms. Rowe with
20	A. No, not that I recall.	20	_
	A. NO, NOT CHAL I LECALL.	20	respect to her qualifications for the financial for this particular position?
1 21	MD CACE. Objection		TOT LITTS DATELICATE DOSTLION?
21	MR. GAGE: Objection.		
22	Q. Did you have any basis to compare Ms.	22	MR. GAGE: Objection.
22 23	Q. Did you have any basis to compare Ms. Rowe to Ms. Piazza?	22 23	MR. GAGE: Objection. A. No, I didn't.
22	Q. Did you have any basis to compare Ms.	22	MR. GAGE: Objection.